G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

19 .. .

( )

Please refer to
Proj 305-36.

File No. 399

LR 92-41(1-4, 7-11)

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Sri Gopalakrishnaswami temple
at Tholasi Thottam, Bangalore city

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

Put up a brief note for orders on the question whether the Kaithi in which petitioner should be treated as a Magistrate or Private Institution

12.10.17

12/10/17

XY

Office) Please put up

12/10/17

3/10/17

4/11/17

Join Def (Applicant) filed Objections & Memorandum of Contds. filed Memorandum of 1881 & 1882 & the revised Examiner extract have to be put up at Bangalore. Obtaining instructions from the Govt: records. The extracts do not throw much light. 4/11/17 P.T.O

Kindly get
Dealed for this file vide

Supt
Branch 3

9.11.17

Report sent to
General Records
with ch. 493-A-6.
on 10/11/17

Submit

Reply obtained & put up

14/11/17

16/11/17

Reply received and submitted
as per
30/11/17.

Note submitted
to Superintendent
as per orders contained
on back of this paper.

30/11/17

N o t e

Subject:- Whether the Sri Gopalakrishna swami temple ~~ak~~ in Tolasithotam at Bangal should be treated as a Muzrai or private institution.

The question was raised in L.R. File No.493 of 1906-07 which pertains to application of Mr. B. V. Krishniengar for the transfer of the kavamgutta village o Taralu in the Kankanahalli Taluk from his name to the name of Sri Gopalakrishnaswami In 1907, the then Muzrai Secretary Mr. Anantaswami Rao opined that the institutio was strictly speaking not a Muzrai institu tion for the reason that it is not endowed with either cash grant or land inam from Government. He ~~clearly stated~~ considered it that it was a private one under the management of the members of the family of the late S. Mara-

Garudachar, and that at the time of the Inm Settlement in 1880 the village was confirme to the temple and Mr. Garudachar was put down as Manager thereof. Thereupon the then Councillor Mr. Puttanna Chetty out in the following side remarks,--

"It is a question whether a Devadaya grant does not imply a certain amount of control by Government.

In answer to this it has been noted In the Inam Register the village is treated as personal and not Devadaya, but in Government Order No 4035/200 dated 1st September 1880 it is stated that the village has been registered in the name of the temple as Devadaya." The question was not sifted further. The circumstances under which this village was first con firmed in the name of the temple and then transferred to the name of Mr R [illegible] Varadiengar have been set forth in paras 1 to 5 of the note in the above file and the Inam Register attached thereto. The it will be seen that on the cont

2

of Mr. B. K. Venkatavaradiengar that the interference of Government regarding the management of the temple funds was not warranted, as the institution was their own and not endowed by Government it has been more than once observed that the village was assigned by the former owner (Mr. Thimmapparaj Urs) to the family of Mr. B.K. Venkatavardiengar in trust for the support and maintenance of the temple and it was obviously necessary in permitting the alienation of the village, an assignment of its equivalent value in money for the benefit of the temple should be provided i a formal trust deed. The money value not having been paid as stated by Mr. B. V. Krishniengar himself the intermediary circumstance of the transfer of the villag to the name of Mr. B. K Venkataverado Iyengar did not alter the situation.

In 1909 ~~a petition was filed pertaining to the~~ an application for ~~of the manager and~~ par-karta Mr B. G Kesava Iyengar for the

acceptance of a deposit of Rs.6000/- ~~at the favourable rate of 4% interest~~ for the benefit of the temple under the charitable endowment rules of 1908. ~~the~~ 1st Councillor Mr. K. P. Puttanna Chetty was not in favour of accepting the deposit on the score that the institution to be benefited was a private one over which Government or the Muzrai Department has no control. However Government ordered the acceptance of a deposit to the extent of Rs.25 000/ the manager being required to maintain regular accounts, make them available for inspection by Government Officers and also submit to Government an annual report on the affairs of the temple with an abstract of accounts. No such report seems to have been received till now nor is institution inspected by any Government Officer to see whether regular accounts are maintained. Under the present managers Mr. B. G. Keshavacharya and his son Mr. B Gundachar the institution with the temple attached thereto appears to be well [illegible]

And the file contains discussion on the subject the Govt. may exercise over the affairs of the institution

Request the SB concerned to inform whether any accounts have been furnished by the manager upto now

[illegible]

maintained and efficient arrangements made for the conduct of services therein. It is a point whether the wishes of these gentlemen may be ascertained beforehand in respect of formally declaring the temple as a Muzrai institution.

The extent of the endowed village is 1241 acres and 39 guntas of which 69 acres and 32 guntas are unculturable, the survey assessment and annual gutta being Rs.562-6 and Rs.435/- respectively. Besides this there is the money deposit of Rs.6000/- which at 4% fetches Rs.240/ annually.

Strictly speaking ~~Scrutinized in the light of the~~ definition of Muzrai institution contained i S.1(?) ~~of the Muzrai Regulation~~, the institution does not seem to be a Muzrai institu as (a) no inam was granted to the temple by Government and (b) it was confirmed as personal to the temple ~~and by~~ which grant alienation fro original grantee. In forwarding Mr.H V

Krishniengar's petition for disposal,

Page 2

Government have stated that they have no objection to the village being registered in the name of the temple. The Inam Superintendent has taken action registering the grant as Devadaya. (Vide extract from current Inam Register ~~xxx~~ put up). The order of 1882 is also submitted. A grant personal in its origin cannot, it is submitted, be made Devadaya subsequently. The rights accruing to the temple by transfer are not of course affected.

30/11/17

Write to the Inam Supt. for his opinion as to whether in the circumstances after case (give the statement of facts) the Inam has been rightly characterised as Devadaya as is noted in the Inam Register

15/2/18.

13/2 18

(1) As directed the Dra
Instr was written to. He
replies to say that "as the
village was confirmed
to the Temple at the Dra
Settlement it must be
confirmed that the
village is Devadaya
(2) The Dy Comr in reply
to this office enquiry states
that no accounts have
been furnished to him
by the Manager of the
Temple as required by the
G.O. Sanctioning the
acceptance of the endow
ment of Rs 6000/-

Submd
9/3/18.

Submd
9/3/18.

Everything considered
I do not think [illegible]
[illegible] is a Mayurai one
within the knowledge of
S. I [illegible]

Temple, the village being unprofitable
insufficient to meet the objects for which it
was given to the Temple. Can the settlement
be revived?

A Copy of the Register is enclosed.

Yr Friend &

Supt of Indian Settls:

Register Form of the Kayamgutta

Number of case.	General class to which the Kayamgutta village belongs	Berij of the village.	Description of Inám, if for service, it is to be stated, whether the service is continued. If for buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up.	Amount of Kayamgutta paid to Government.	By whom granted and in what year.
1	2	3	4	5	6
97	Personal benefit	Kadim B. 177-2-14 515-11-11	For personal benefit	As per account. S. F. V 177-2-14 = Rs. as. P. 515-11-11 Current Collection. Pagodas 1429-4-9 or Rs as P 1.71.-12 L	The late Indra Rajah of Mysore Viduniti 1830-31.

village of Jaralu in the Somanahally

Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.	Name of the original grantee.	Whether the village is entered in the Register of Kayamgutta villages received from the Chief Commissioner's Office, if not in what other accounts.
7	8	9
1. Nirup of late Mahá Rajah of 26th October 1830, vide Col: 7 of Register of Chundahalli village. 2. Order of Division Commr's No. 42 of 2nd March 1839 — vide Col: 7 of Register for Gulakonada village. 3. Deed of Gift of 1842-43 — from Timmappah Rajé Urs to the Temple in col: 10. Unstamped Paper.	Timappa = rajé Urs.	Huzur Kayam = gutta Account of 1835. V. No. 1048 Hanumantarow son of Balajirow of Elachpet (manager on behalf of Party in Col. 8) P. T. Q. Hadina Beriji 577-2-16 Jabuli Account of 1877. Timmappah Raj = Urs. Rs: 515—11—11 now paid Rs: 434—12—6

Support Kankanhalli

4

Residence, name, age, &c, of the present owner	Relation to original grantee.	If unconnected with the original holder, possession how obtained and in what year.
10	11	12
1. The Temple of Gopinathaswamy, situated in the Solvé Kota of Bangalore Vokkaliga in the name of Mr. S. Garudachar, Treasurer of the Bangalore District.	Cultivation	Alienation

Taluk Bangalore

Assistant's opinion and recommendation.

13

1. The village is confirmed to the Parties in Column 10 on the existing gutta of Rs: 435 subject to all Local Fund and Irrigation Cesses.

2. Rights to Lower and Mohari are conceded, these items having formed part of the gutta amount, as per Lowndes.

3. Rights to Forests are reserved.

4. A Valuation Survey is desired.

5. Deed of gift - No. 3 is on plain paper and is to be sent to the Deputy Commissioner to be dealt with under the Stamp Act, and this village is confirmed subject to the Stamp duty and penalty being paid.

3d September 1878. (Signed) Rob: Cole.
Asst to Inam Settlt:

P 70

District. Bangalore

Stamp duty vide Rs: 9-5-4 and penalty Rs: 93-5-4 in all Rs: 102-10-8 have been levied under Stamp Act by the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District, vide his Docket No: 1559 of the 3d January 1890.

(Signed) S. Rajagopaulah

20-1-90

"Copy"

Main Settlement Office
Bangalore 22d July 1891.

Supdt of Stamps

Supdt of Stamps

18

Division

Review and confirmation by the Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

1882.

From

To The Supt of Inan Settlements

Dated 25 July
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3430
No. 31

Hrs No 20 dated 22nd July 1881 —

with reference to the above requests that the Documents quoted in Col 7 of the Regr of Inamdar Village Accts may be obtained and forwarded for inspection. It is observed that the Accd of Cobb account of the Village was executed by the Cole Shimnappa Rajakers in favour of the temple and not by ~~it or not clear how~~ Varunashi Patter.

Secy

(Serial No. 61.)

OFFICE OF THE
28 SEP 81
DEWAN OF MYSORE.

No. 311

Dated 28th September 1881.

From

The Inam Superintendent in Mysore.

To The Secretary to the
~~Inam Commissioner in Mysore~~
Dewan of Mysore

Despatched 28 Septr 1881.

Received 1881

Enclosures 3 documents
Sep pacl

REFERENCE.

Your No 3430/31 dated 21st July 1881

As requested in the above, I have the honor to transmit the marginally noted documents connected with the Village of Thakur, to be obligingly returned when no longer required.

I have &c

Supdt of Inams
S.D.

1 Nirroop of the late Maharajah Dated 20th October 1830

Authenticated order No 42 of 2d March 1839 of the late Dy Supdt.

Deed of Gift 1842/43 from Timmappa Rajaurs

21

(General-Serial No. 30.)

1881

From

To The Superintendent,
Inam Settlements

Dated 22 November

Despatched "

Received

Enclosures No. 3

General No. 6157
No. "

Regarding the settlement of the Kayemgutta Tarala village in the Kankanady Taluk.

Read

Docket No 20 dated 22nd Jan 1881
from the Deputy Inam Settlement Officer
soliciting instructions whether the
registry of Kayem-Gutta Taraka
vesaya in the Kaveripatna Talug
made during the Inam settlement
in the name of Copparchetty Swamy
Temple at Bangalore may,
under the circumstances stated,
be transferred to the name of
Gurudasha, late treasurer of
the Bangalore District

Docket No 39 dated 28th
September 1881 forwarding 3
documents connected with the
village as requested in the
Office note dated 15th Jan 1881.
31

No 6156 of 22nd Nov 1881 (Order thereon)

It is observed that the land
exempted by the late Sir Mark
Thimmappa Raja was in the
Year 1242 43. Fasli. In order to
place the Exemption of the
Village [illegible]
Settlement officer [illegible]
a fresh [illegible]
[illegible]

maintenance and support of the [illegible] Mastership
to the appointment [illegible] and his
[illegible] as trustees for the
administration of the Charity — the
[illegible] of the [illegible] of the
[illegible] no proper §
[illegible] the [illegible] of the [illegible]
[illegible] other [illegible]
[illegible] to interfere
[illegible] carry [illegible]
[illegible] objects of the [illegible]
[illegible] that
[illegible] made by him
are [illegible] interests of
[illegible] should therefore
[illegible] to [illegible] any
[illegible] should have
[illegible] gift
[illegible] which
[illegible]
[illegible]

the

x There should be shewn
that funds equal to the
[illegible] respective
value of the villages
are permanently set
apart in a secure form
for the purposes of
the Charity exclusively
[illegible]

R[illegible]

[illegible] Maharajah
[illegible]
[illegible]

No. 55. OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE 1252 SG...IV.81

Bangalore, 29th Nov 1881

From

The Inám Superintendent in Mysore.

To,

The Secretary to the Dewan
Inam-Commissioner-in-Mysore. of Mysore

Despatched 29th Nov 1881.

Received 187

Enclosures. (one original letter)

REFERENCE.

Your No. -dated 187-.

Proceedings of the Dewan
No. 6156/66 of the 2nd Instant.

In reply, has the honor to submit the original letter from Mr. Garudáchár for consideration and orders.

L. Grant
Supdt of Main Settlt.

SC 11 81

Colonel J. P. Grant

Superintendent of the

Inam Settlements

Sir

With reference to Dewan's Proceedings No. 6156 dated 2nd November, Copy of which you have kindly furnished me, I beg to state that the Kayamgutta village Taraku yielded when in a flourishing State the maximum Revenue of Rs 360/- annually Exclusive of the gutta amount but the revenue gradually decreased until the average income has come down to only 42 during the past 10 years. With a view to perpetuate the charity established by my father and to place it on a basis of certainty beyond the risk of fluctuation according to change of Seasons and the nature of management, I with the consent of my brothers who are joint trustees, have thought it fit to convert the land into 4 per cent Government Securities. Rs 10,000 will accordingly

be invested in the name of the or trustees for the time being in lieu of the above village, and this amount will fetch Rs 400/- a year which I hope will be considered more than Equivalent to the present or any prospective value of the village in question. I may add for the information of His Highness's Government that besides the above Sum, Rs 6000/- will also be similarly invested by us for the benefit of the charity in question. The Government will thus see that the proposed alienation of the village is solely for the benefit of the institution and I beg that the same will be sanctioned at an early date.

I beg to remain
Most respected Sir
Your most obedient Servant.



Docket

No 55 of the 29th Novr. 1887.
forwarding for orders a letter
from Mr. Garuda Char, proposing
~~to~~ to invest Rs 10,000/ in
Govt securities ~~for~~ in
lieu of the Kayenputta Village of
Taralupe the Kankankally Pt.
for the benefit of the Gopaulkrishna Swamy
Temple in Bangalore -
Petn from Mr. Garuda Char, dt/16th
May/82 requesting that the village may be
registered in the name of his nephew Mr. B.K.
Venkat Varadrengar ~~the~~ instead of the substitution

No. 2325-6/34 dt 24 June/82.

of Rs 10,000 in Govt
securities can be
accepted in lieu of
the Kayenputta Village registered
in the name of the
Temple and the village as requested
transferred in the
name of Mr B. K. Venkat
Varadrengar as a
personal grant; but
it is necessary that
a formal deed should
be executed & registered
assigning the money
for the benefit of the
Temple &c.

No. 2327/93.
To. The Judge
The Superintendent
The Govt. Bangalore &c
Copy to Mr Garuda Char
in reply to his applicn dt 16th May last

Secty

22

To
The Imam Saheb with
Offices Bangalore
Mr N Sarudachar.

Dated 29th June
Despo ——

No 2325-6
314
& No 2329
95

Encls.

Passing orders on Mr Sarada Chars offer to invest 10,000 Rs in Govt. Securities in lieu of the Kayenguttu village of Saradi for the benefit of the Gopalkrishnaswamy Temple in Bangalore.

To issue today
2/7

To Peter Brown
Bangalore Resident

eneral Serial No. 29.)

2745

18.

From The Dy. Commissioner

Bangalore District,

To

The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~ Dewan

of Mysore, ~~Department~~.

Dated 5th July 1882

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. ~~201~~ } 18 —8 .
D No.

Dewan's Proceedings No.

2325-6/34 of 29th Ultimo.

Referring to the above, has the honor to forward for the orders of the Dewan, copy of a communication dated 3 Instant, received from Mr. B. K. Venkatavardaiengar, stating that it is unnecessary to burden the Institution with the expenses of drawing up a formal Trust deed, and

requesting Holcombe Rhi[illegible] permission of
art apart for the Temple Assembly and i[illegible]

L Charlotte

Dep Commissioner.

alore 3rd July 1882

To

The Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District

Sir

I have been favored with your Docket Rev No 477 of the 30th Ultimo regarding Prasana Krishnaswamy alias Gopal Krishnaswamy Court at Bangalore

2 In reply, I beg to state that the institution is our own, and that it is not allowed by Government as appear to have been assumed by the fact of certain direction having been issued regarding

the management of the funds
the facts are these.

3 My grandfather built the
Temple at his own expense,
indowed it to the extent,
of 2500 Rs in cash, and got
his friend Timmappay Rw to
add to the endowment by
assigning over to him one of
his Villages, viz Tarlu, for the
benefit of the Temple. Past
years' experience showed that
the retention of the Village
for the temple was disadvan
tageous to it as the receipts
from it gradually lessened
from various causes and
failed to cover the expenses
of

institution, and accord-
ingly my late father, Mr
Krishniengar and his brothers,
Messrs Garoodachar and Srinivas
Iengar proposed to sell the
Villages and invest the pro-
ceeds and the aforesaid 2500
Rs. in Government securities,
along with what they had
to subscribe to make up
in all 16000 Rs. the amount
the interest whereon, viz Ru-
pees 640 / was found to be
equal to the annual ex-
penses of the Temple. They
did not however carry out
their intention at once as at
the Inam settlement my —
uncle

uncle (Mr Gavaudachar) had got
the Village registered in the
name of the temple, but
preferred doing so after
getting a title deed issued
in the name of the purchaser
as a better security, although
such a measure was not ab-
solutely necessary inasmuch
as the Dasadya was not
one created by Government
to become inalienable, and
as the donee (i.e the temple
its Manager) was perfectly at
liberty to sell it for proper
and full consideration when
the so doing was considered,
to be to its advantage A
representation

tion therefore, was
made to the Government
in order to have the Village
re-registered as a personal grant
as before. This the Diwan
having been pleased to direct
we shall proceed to give effect
to our proposals in due manner
4. The village being con
tiguous to our Rajkipur
property, my father agreed
to take it over timely and
pay a lump sum of 10,400 Rs.
as its value inclusive of his share of the
amount to be contributed
to make up 16000 as aforesaid
the remaining 3,100 Rs. being
made up by my uncle.
5. I have written at this
length

with simply to show
that in our humble opinion
it is unnecessary to burden
the institution with the
expense of drawing up a
formal trust deed, and re
gistering it, and that we
might humbly claim to be
left to ourselves to manage
the funds set apart by us for
the benefit of our temple in
a manner best suited to per
petuate the memory of our an
cestor.

I have &c.a

(Sd/) B. H. Vanderwardenjar

Copy.

[illegible]

Secretary

To Commissioners

Read :-

Letter No. 281 of the 5th July 02 from the Dy. Comm^r of Bangalore, forwarding Copy of a Letter from Mr S. K. Venkat Varadrengar, in regard to the endowment to the Gopalkrishna - swamy temple in Bangalore

No 2801-2/150 of 15th July 02

Order thereon :- The question at issue is not the right to the Temple or to its managership but the village having been assigned by its former holder to the family of the Petr. in trust for the support and maintenance of the Temple & having been accordingly registered in the name of the Temple it is obviously necessary, in now permitting the alienation of the village, that the assignment of its equivalent value in money for the benefit of the Temple should be provided for in a formal Trust Deed.

To The Dy Comr Bangalore
" The Asst Comr

Secy

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District
and Inam Superintendent

Dy 15 July

No 2807-2
150

13/7

Passing orders
in regard to the Endow-
-ment & to the Gopal-
-krishnasamy Temple
in Bangalore

(General Serial No. 29.)

40

From The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Distt.

To

The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~ Dewan
of Mysore, Department.

Dated 18th
Despatched 1st August 1882
Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 394 / No. } 1882-83.

Has the honor to
forward herewith for
reconsideration and
orders of the Dewan
copy of a Communication
dated 20th July 1882
received from Mr.
B. K. Venkatavardiengar,
regarding the Trust
deed ordered to be
executed in favor of
Gopal Krishnaswamy
Temple at Bangalore.

Dy. Commissioner

21/8

GOVT. OF MYSORE

Bangalore
July 20th 1882

al Serial No. 31.)

1882.

From

To The D. Comm^r
Bangalore Dt.

Dated 15th September.

Despatched —

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 4035

No. 200.

Regarding the
Trust Deed ordered to be
executed in favour of
Gopalkrishnamma
Sangha Rao

Water rest - L-R 64 2 4 56

(Unused Serial No. 81)

Enclosures Two

Letter No 394 of the
18th August 1882 from
the Dy Comr. of Bangalore,
forwarding for orders copy
of a letter from Mr B.K. Venkat Vara
diengar regarding the Trust
Deed ordered to be executed
in favor of Gopaulkrishnadoss Temple at Bangalore

No 4035-200 of 1st Sept/82

Order thereon:— The Dy Comr in the
above does not offer
any opinion on the subject.
In accordance with
the conditions laid down
by the donor of the village
and the wishes of the
present Managers, the
Kayenguttu village
has been registered in
the name of the Temple
as Devádáya - The
Govt cannot, under
the circumstances,
allow of its alienation
as a personal grant
without a formal
registered document
or agreement executed by the persons
concerned [illegible]

the manner in which
the Trust is thereunder
provided for and the
parties responsible for it

The case of the Meer
villages referred to by
Mr Venkat Varadringer as having been
confirmed as a personal
grant has no bearing
on the subject.

Secty.

To The Dy Comr Bangalore Distt

2 Encls

1674
21.2.18.

To
The Deputy Commr
Bangalore

Dy 20.2.18

Sir,

I have the honour to invite your reference to para 1 of G.O. No: 1530-2 Miscl dated 7th Dec 1909 regarding maintenance of accounts by the Manager of the Sri Gopalakrishnaswami temple at Halasuru, Bangalore City and to request to be informed if the manager has in compliance with the said order furnished any accounts to you till now & if so, for what years.

I have &c

Dy 20/2/18
for Deputy Commr
Asst
20/2/18

To

The Supdt of Inam Settlements in Mysore

1675
21.2.88

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the Kazanguttu village of Varalu in the Bankankalli Taluk was according to the Inam system a personal Inam granted to one Timm appanajlu who in 1842 endowed it to the temple of Sri Gopala Krishnaswami at Bayar by a deed of gift and handed it over to Venkatrayya father of M.B. Sunda Char who was then the manager of the temple. At the time of the Inam settlement the village was confirmed to the temple. In June 1888 M. Sunda Char represented that the village may be continued in his own name as he had made alterations and improvements on the mankhan

of the temple. After some
correspondence ~~correspondence~~ Govt in G.O. Ms. No.
No: 2325-6/34 dt 25th June 1882
directed the transfer of
the village in the name of
M/s B.K. Venkatavardiengar Nephew of M/s Garudachar
as personal Inam on condition
that Rs 10,000 in Govt. securities
were substituted in lieu
of the land Inam. in favour of the temple.
Accordingly the name
of M/s B.K. Venkatavardiengar
seems to have been entered in
the Inam register & the
village treated as personal
inam. M/s B.V.
Krishniengar, son of M/s B.K.
Venkatavardiengar applied
for the transfer of the
village from his name Father's
to the name of his foster
brother Krishnasawmi as the
money consideration of
Rs 10000 referred to in
the order quoted above

94

writ No: R107 19.6.0

to your Lordship or
Court observed that
there was no objection
to the transfer as done
and in accordance
therewith ~~the village~~ necessary corrections
seem to have been made
in the Inam register by
. ing the village as Devadā
ya in favour of the
Śrīpāl Bhushnalli swami
temple.

In the above circum
. stances, I am to request
you to be so good as to favour
me with your opinion
as to whether the Inam
has been rightly charac
terised as Devadaya
as is noted in the Inam
register.

I have the
honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient
humble servant

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, Dated 4 March *1918*.

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

No. 2903
6/3/18

TO

The Amildar Superintendent
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Recd by registered post 7.3.18

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 1675 dated 21 February 1918 regarding the treatment of the Kayamgutta village of Taralu in the Kankanhally taluk, I have the honor to state that as the village was confirmed to the temple at the Inam Settlement, it must be construed that the village is Devadaya.

Recd 4/3/18

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient Servant,
Mariyappa Bhatta
Superintendent of Inam
Settlement in Mysore

the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District,

454817.18 Dated, Bangalore, 4th March 1918.

From

P.F. Bowring, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

2762
7.3.18

To

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1674 dated 21st February 1918 requesting to be informed if the Manager of Sri Prasannakrishn Swami Temple in the Tholasitotam, Bangalore City has furnished any accounts in respect of the expenses incurred out of the interest on the endowment fund of Rs. 6,000/- deposited by Mr. B.G Kesava Iyenga for the benefit of the said Institution, I have the honour to state that no accounts in the above said connection has been furnished to this Office by the Manager till now.

I have the honour to be.

Sir.

Your most obedient servant,

6/3/18

D V P

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.